

**AGENDA OF THE  
AOPA COMMITTEE OF THE  
NATURAL RESOURCES COMMISSION**

**Fort Harrison State Park — The Garrison  
Gates Room  
6002 North Post Road  
Indianapolis (Lawrence), Indiana  
March 20, 2012**

**CONVENE  
8:30 a.m., EDT (7:30 a.m., CDT)**

1. Call to order and introductions.
2. Consideration and approval of minutes for meeting held on January 10, 2012
3. Consideration of “Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law with Nonfinal Order” in the Matter of *Melvin Shaul, Sr. and Phyllis Shaul v. DNR and James D. Bailey and Barbara Bailey*, Administrative Cause No. 11-173W<sup>1</sup>
4. [9:00 a.m., EDT] Consideration of “Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law with Nonfinal Order” and “Objection to ‘Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law with Non-Final Order’” by Robert Paton, as Personal Representative of the Estate of Amelia E. Paton, and Robert Paton, individually in the Matter of *Elizabeth Y. Plymate, Virginia M. Shaffer, Shirley K. Myers Revocable Living Trust, and Kalarama Properties, LLC v. Robert Paton, as Personal Representative of the Estate of Amelia E. Paton, Robert Paton, Gary T. Weir Revocable Trust and DNR*; Administrative Cause No. 11-098W

**RECONVENE  
12:30 p.m., EDT (11:30 p.m., CDT)**

5. Consideration of “Nonfinal Order of Partial Summary Judgment with Findings (and Remand to the Department of Natural Resources)”, “Objection to Nonfinal Order of Partial Summary Judgment” by Respondent Intervenors, Lee E. Gross, Daniel Jones, Jennifer Jones, Thomas E. Warren and Diana L. Warren; and “Objection to Nonfinal Order of Partial Summary Judgment” by Claimants in the Matter of *Larry J. Howard and Bernadean M. Howard v. DNR; Paul J. Smith, Gail L. Smith, Lee E. Gross, Chad D. Larsh, Daniel Jones, Jennifer Jones, Thomas E. Warren and Diana L. Warren; and Garry W. Barnes, et al.*, Administrative Cause No. 10-206W
6. Adjourn.

---

<sup>1</sup> The DNR may also renew its request that the Shauls’ pleadings be dismissed on the basis they lacked “reasonable particularity” for objections as required by IC 4-21.5-3-9(d). The Shauls do not specifically designate a pleading as a statement of objections.